

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA


CASE NO: 10061/2018

In the matter between:

**HLEKIWE ANNIE MODISANE**

Applicant

and

(1)	<u>REPORTABLE:</u>	<u>YES / NO</u>
(2)	<u>OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES:</u>	<u>YES / NO</u>
13/03/18 DATE		 SIGNATURE

13/3/18

**MOTSEOSE KOOS MODISANE**

First Respondent

**MOMENTUM**

Second Respondent

JUDGMENT (Reason)


Tuchten J:

- 1 This is a request for reasons for having dismissed the applicant's urgent application.
- 2 The applicant brought an urgent application to interdict the second respondent from paying out the first respondent's pension pending a maintenance enquiry, which is presently pending, into the first

respondent's obligation to pay maintenance for two minor children born of their relationship..

3 I characterised the relief sought by the applicant as an anti-dissipation interdict. I concluded that there was no evidence that the first respondent intended to dissipate his pension when it was paid out to him.

4 I accordingly dismissed the application.

  
NB Tuchten  
Judge of the High Court  
13 March 2018