

Democracy and development in the age of globalization: Tensions and contradictions in the context of specific African challenges

JOHN CANTIUS MUBANGIZI

Professor, Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Head of the College of Law and Management Studies, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa¹

1 INTRODUCTION

In 1993 the World Conference on Human Rights adopted the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action which proclaimed, *inter alia*, that ‘democracy, development and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms are interdependent and mutually reinforcing.’² Whereas this interdependence is possible and indeed desirable, it tends to ignore the contradictions and challenges posed by the relationship between these ideals and one of the leading characteristics of the contemporary world – globalization. The relationship between globalization and democracy is quite complex. So too is the relationship between globalization and development. Moreover, the structural and institutional changes associated with globalization have a significant impact on the protection of human rights, particularly in the developing world. Nowhere is this complex relationship more prominent than on the African continent. This is due to the unique circumstances and peculiar challenges that African countries have faced in the past and continue to face in the foreseeable future.

Besides reflecting on the impact of globalization on development and democratization, this article explores the various contradictions that arise out of the relationship between these concepts. It also highlights the peculiar challenges that underlie and further complicate this relationship in the specific context of African countries. Any meaningful discussion of these relationships and the attendant contradictions and challenges however, first requires a clear understanding of the relevant concepts. In view of the central focus of this article, it is not only appropriate but also necessary to begin by defining the key concepts. This is not an easy task, considering the diversity of interpretations of the terms ‘globalization’, ‘development’ and ‘democracy’. Nevertheless, it is to conceptual issues that we first turn our attention.

¹ The original version of this paper was presented at the Asia Association of Global Studies (AAGS) Conference on Globalization and Human Rights in the Developing World, University of Calgary, Canada, 21 – 22 March 2009.

² Article 8 *Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action* (A/CONF.157/23) at <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/docid/3ae6b39ec.html>.

2 CONCEPTUAL ISSUES

2.1 Globalization

There are numerous definitions of the term 'globalization'. Many of these definitions and descriptions however, tend to relate to economic globalization, which is often seen as the main thrust of the concept. Globalization has for instance been defined as 'a process of integrating economic decision-making...a process of creating a global market place in which, increasingly, all nations are forced to participate.'³ It has also been described as 'an ever increasing integration of national economies into the global economy through trade and investment rules and privatization, aided by technological advances.'⁴

It is submitted however, that globalization is a much more complex process 'with intrinsic, technological, economical, social and political components'⁵. As such, Brysk's definition of globalization as 'the growing interpenetration of states, markets, communication, and ideas across borders...'⁶ is more acceptable. Even more appropriate is the definition by Stiglitz who sees globalization as 'the closer integration of the countries and peoples of the world...the breaking down of artificial barriers to the flow of goods, services, capital, knowledge...'⁷

A more simplified form of the above definitions is offered by Giddens who sees globalization as a consequence of modernization and defines it as the 'intensification of worldwide social relations which link distant localities in such a way that local happenings are shaped by events occurring many miles away and vice versa.'⁸ This definition gives resonance to other related terms that are increasingly gaining popular usage such as the global village, the global economy, the global society and global citizenship. It also echoes Wangwe and Musonda's definition of globalization as the 'rapidly increasing complex interactions between societies, cultures, institutions and individuals worldwide.'⁹

2.2 Development

As mentioned earlier, definitions of development are quite diverse. Some conceptions emphasize economic development in terms of the growth of national productive capabilities. Others, however, focus on development in terms of human capabilities. For example Amartya Sen sees development as freedom and argues that it is a process of expanding the real freedoms that people enjoy.¹⁰ He contrasts development as a human

³ Kwanashie M 'The concept and process of globalization' quoted in NS Okogbule, 'Globalization, economic sovereignty and African development: From principles to realities', (2008) 25(1) *Journal of Third World Studies* 215.

⁴ Adejo A M 'The roots of globalization: A historical review' (2001) 1(1) *Journal of Globalization and International Studies* 4.

⁵ Assefa T, Rugumamu S M & Ahmed A G M, *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001) iii.

⁶ Brysk A (Ed) *Globalization and human rights* (2002) 1.

⁷ Stiglitz J *Globalization and its discontents* (2002) 18.

⁸ Giddens A *The consequences of modernity* (1990) 64.

⁹ Wangwe S M & Musonda F M 'Local perspectives on globalization: The economic domain' in Semboja J, Mwapachu J & Jansen E (Eds) *Local perspectives on globalization: The African case* (2002) 57.

¹⁰ See Sen A *Development as freedom* (1999) 3.

freedom with what he calls ‘narrower views of development, such as identifying development with the growth of gross national product, or with the rise in the personal incomes, or with industrialization, or with technological advance, or with social modernization.’¹¹ According to Sen therefore, development entails the removal of the things that deprive people of freedom such as poverty, tyranny, poor economic opportunities, social deprivation, neglect of public facilities, intolerance and repressive governance.

The foregoing conception of development is indeed consistent with the definition provided by the 1986 United Nations Declaration on the Right to Development. It defines development as ‘a comprehensive economic, social, cultural and political process, which aims at the constant improvement of the well-being of the entire population and of all individuals on the basis of their active, free and meaningful participation in development and in the fair distribution of benefits resulting there from.’¹²

2.3 Democracy

Over the years, democracy has been subjected to various competing definitions and interpretations. This is mainly because philosophers and policy makers sometimes chose to adopt a definition of democracy that they hoped would influence public opinion and solve their problems at the time.¹³ It is also because no government wanted to be labeled undemocratic.¹⁴ Eventually a rather simplistic definition of democracy, as ‘a government of the people, by the people and for the people’ was initially advanced by President Lincoln in 1863 and subsequently embraced by Western scholars.¹⁵ Thus, according to Thomson for example, democracy literally means ‘rule by people’.¹⁶ Many other commentators have defined democracy along those lines.

Brendalyn Ambrose for example defines democracy as ‘a system of governance which allows people to freely elect their leaders and hold them accountable, and which provides opportunity for the greater number of people to use their human potential to survive in dignity.’¹⁷ This definition is echoed by David Held who sees democracy as ‘a form of government in which, in contradiction to monarchies and aristocracies, the people rule.’¹⁸ These are all broad definitions of course. Samuel Huntington defines democracy in a narrower political perspective. According to him, a political system is democratic ‘to the extent that its most powerful collective decision-makers are selected through fair, honest and periodic elections in which candidates freely compete for votes, and in which virtually all the adult population is eligible to vote.’¹⁹ A narrow conception of democracy

¹¹ *Ibid.*

¹² See the United Nations Declaration on the Right to Development (GA Resolution 41/128 of 04 December 1986) at <http://www.un-documents.net/a41r128.htm>.

¹³ See Ambrose B O *Democratization and the protection of human rights in Africa* (1995) 16.

¹⁴ Akokpari J K ‘Meeting the challenge of sustainable democracy’ in Assefa T, Rugumamu S M and Ahmed A G M *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001) 84.

¹⁵ Ambrose (note 13 above) 16.

¹⁶ Thomson A *An introduction to African politics* (2000) 216.

¹⁷ Ambrose (note 13 above)

¹⁸ Held D *Models of Democracy* (1987) 2.

¹⁹ Akokpari (note 14 above) 84.

therefore, limits it to the procedures by which the people select their leaders, whereas a broader interpretation sees it as a political system which allows the people to control public-decision making on an ongoing basis.

It is important to note that whether broad or narrow, most, if not all, definitions of democracy have 'people' as a focal point. As such, in a democratic society, leaders (or rulers) should be chosen by the people, they should be accountable to the people and they should act in the interest of, and on behalf of the people. In a more holistic sense, democracy includes the respect of the rights of the people and the guarantee of equal opportunity for all people. The question, however, is: who are 'the people' envisaged in the definition of the concept of democracy? Which people should partake in and benefit from the democratic process? Should the concept of democracy and its benefits be confined to only citizens of a particular state or be extended to non-citizens. These questions are critical in the context of the relationship between democracy and globalization. In South Africa, for example, although the preamble to the Constitution states categorically that South Africa belongs to all who live in it, political rights (including the right to vote) are exclusively confined to citizens. This type of political exclusion is not unique to South Africa as many other countries have similar provisions in their constitutions. It is submitted that the right to engage in political processes of a state and therefore the right to be among 'the people' envisaged in the concept of democracy should not be confined to citizens only. It should, democratically speaking, be enjoyed by all those who are 'subject to the jurisdiction of the state, its courts and its laws.'²⁰

3 THE TENSIONS AND THE CONTRADICTIONS

The implications and impacts of globalization have been widely discussed and debated. Many of the debates have concentrated on the positive contribution made by globalization to economic growth and development on the one hand, and the impoverishment and devastation caused by globalization to the poorer countries on the other. Such debates have therefore focused on the economic dimensions of globalization largely due to the fact that globalization is often erroneously conceived in economic terms.

While the world is striving for democracy and development, there is also a growing realization that globalization is here to stay. What follows is not an extension of the debate on the pros and cons of globalization, but an attempt to highlight the tensions and contradictions that arise in the inevitable relationship between globalization, democracy and development, particularly in the context of the African continent.

3.1 Globalization and democracy

To begin with, it could be argued that globalization has a tendency and the potential of narrowing the scope of democracy.²¹ One of the inevitable consequences of

²⁰ See Le Roux W 'Migration, street democracy and expatriate voting rights' (2009) 24(2) *SA Public Law* 393.

²¹ See Zeleza P T & McConaughay P J *Human rights, the rule of law and development in Africa* (2004) 98-101

globalization is the rearrangement of social classes by the creation of a dominant capitalist class. When this happens, the sphere of private decision-making expands at the expense of public decision-making. This is a result of the increase in capital's influence over governments and decision-makers. This narrowing of democracy is particularly worrisome in Africa, where problems of social inequality are more severe and social-economic disparities more prevalent.

How globalization affects the state is another important indicator of the tension between globalization and democracy. Accordingly, it has been argued that the widespread disengagement of the state from economic activity (as a result of globalization) has made the state a victim of globalization.²² As a result, 'globalization has undercut the conventional national economic as well as political authority of the state,' it is further argued.²³ The other side of this argument is that globalization has elevated economic issues into prominence at the expense of democratic concerns. For instance, 'government's important foreign policy decisions are informed more by economic than political considerations.'²⁴ As a result, a situation is emerging where 'both the state and democratic forces are losing effective control over decision-making...'²⁵

A significant tension between globalization and democracy is what could be referred to as globalized dependency. Africa's growing dependency on Western patronage is well-known. This patronage, an important characteristic of globalization, has a disastrous impact on democracy and governance. Because most of their revenue is generated through such patronage, many African countries are more accountable to the Western donor countries than to their own people. An example is often given of Uganda which, having achieved important advances in terms of poverty alleviation and HIV policies is considered to be an 'effective reformer' and is a recipient of generous international aid packages.²⁶ 'But the fact that the Uganda government today depends on donors for 53% of its revenue has clear implications for governance because ultimately the Ugandan government is more answerable to donors than to its citizens.'²⁷ The tension between globalization and democracy here is inescapable. The total lack of democracy in Uganda today is testimony to that.

It was mentioned earlier that democracy includes the respect for human rights. A discussion of the tensions between globalization and democracy would therefore be incomplete if it didn't incorporate human rights. In that regard the main contradiction between globalization and human rights is the question as to whether globalization enhances or inhibits the realization of human rights, particularly in the African context.

In attempting an answer to that question, the broad definition of globalization adopted earlier should be kept in mind. If, in terms of that conception, globalization is seen as the closer integration of the countries and peoples of the world, breaking down all fences and barriers leading to the flow of goods, services, capital and knowledge; one would assume then that globalization would bring prosperity to all corners of the globe and with it

²² Zeleka & McConnaughay (note 20 above) 103.

²³ *Ibid.*

²⁴ Akokpari (n 14 above) 93

²⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶ Griffith P *Unbinding Africa: Making globalization work for good governance* (2003) xiii

²⁷ *Ibid.*

would come democracy, freedom and human rights. That unfortunately is not the case. The opposite is true. With globalization, the world is experiencing unprecedented levels of conflict accompanied by gross violations of human rights. Africa is home to many of these conflicts with human rights abuses often at the centre of such conflicts.

One of the effects of globalization is that it has turned the world into a global market for goods and services produced and supplied by the powerful transnational corporations and countries of the West. These are mainly driven by the maximization of profit and the underlying capitalist agenda. It can therefore be argued that while there is no doubt about the Western origin of human rights, there is also no doubt that the West will go all out to support the violation of the same human rights if such violation is deemed to be in the best interest of its global capitalist agenda.²⁸ Moreover, Western countries are increasingly using their view of human rights as a yardstick to judge developing countries with respect to trade relations and extending development assistance.²⁹ As a result, 'globalization intensifies impoverishment by increasing poverty, insecurity, fragmentation of society and this violates human rights and human dignity of millions of people.'³⁰ In the African context, a clear example of this is Zimbabwe.

An important contradiction between globalization and human rights is illustrated by the highly political nature of the relationship between the two. There are some who argue that globalization can have only negative social, political and economic effects. As such, globalization undermines human rights. This, according to Rhoda Howard-Hassman, is because people 'are more likely to enjoy their human rights if they live in a locally, rather than globally-controlled environment.'³¹ In the African context, this perhaps explains the untold suffering and gross violation of human rights associated with immigration, and human trafficking, both of which are rampant on the continent. It also explains why the worst forms of human rights abuses in Africa were occasioned by slave trade, colonization and apartheid, all of which were a consequence of human movement into or out of Africa.

3.2 Globalization and development

There are many who would argue vehemently in support of globalization and its endless list of benefits. They would point to advances in communications and information technology, global economic integration, international movement of goods and services, technology transfer and increased international trade, to mention but a few. They would then conclude that globalization has enhanced global competitiveness and revolutionized the world economy, and hence, it is the best vehicle for development. Others however, would disagree and point to the severe economic devastation and social instability caused by globalization, particularly in developing countries. Therein lies the tension and contradiction between globalization and development.

²⁸ See Mazrui A M 'Globalism and some linguistic dimensions of human rights in Africa' in Asefa T, Rugumamu S M and Ahmed A G M, *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001) 55.

²⁹ See Chunakara M G 'Globalisation and Its Impact on Human Rights' at <http://www.religion-online.org/showchapter.asp?title=1559&c=1398>.

³⁰ *Ibid.*

³¹ See Howard-Hassman R E 'The second great transformation: Human rights leapfrogging in the era of globalization' 2005 (27) *Human Rights Quarterly* 16.

In order to understand the contradiction between globalization and development in Africa, it is important to see globalization in the general context of Africa's history. Mulinge and Munyae have for example argued that globalization is the third phase of colonization, following on the second phase which was neo-colonization.³² They further argue that the goals of globalization are diametrically opposed to the goals of development in that 'the process of globalization is geared toward the entrenchment of the same forces, processes and structures that hampered the development of Africa under colonial rule.'³³ For them, what distinguishes 'globalization as a form of economic imperialism from the old order (of the 19th and early 20th centuries) is that the colonial powers are no longer competing among themselves but working more or less in unison.'³⁴ Taken to its logical conclusion the basis of this argument is that just as there was no real development during colonialism, there is equally none during globalization. Here, real development is seen in the context of the definition given earlier: development that is not measured only in terms of quantitative economic growth but also in terms of its improvement of the lives and well-being of the people. Real development is one that helps to change the living conditions of the people rather than merely increasing the economic wealth of the country.

There is no doubt that globalization has resulted in economic prosperity for developed countries. At the same time however, it has intensified poverty, created unemployment and promoted social disintegration in the majority of developing countries. As a result, there are inevitable but perverse flows of human capital. Ademola Oyejide points out that skilled professionals tend to flow from developing countries to the more developed, thus worsening the global distribution of human capital.³⁵

The consequence for the developing countries is obvious. It is for that reason that the United Nations Development Programme pointed out in its 1999 Human Development Report that:

'Rapid technological change and globalization are transforming the world at unprecedented pace, but the benefits are going to the rich and strong rather than the weak and poor... The gap between the wealthy and poor is growing even larger...'³⁶

Mention was made earlier of Africa's growing dependence on Western countries and the impact of such dependence on democracy and governance. It is submitted that dependence has even greater impact on development. In that context, dependence may be described as a situation in which the economy of certain countries is conditioned by the development and expansion of another economy to which the former is subjected.³⁷ What this means is that due to unequal power and economic relations, the structure of the dependent economy is shaped more by the requirements of the external economy than by

³² See Muhinge M M & Munyae M M 'Globalization and sustainable development in Africa' in Assefa T, Rugumamu S M & Ahmed A G M, *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001) 102.

³³ *Ibid.*

³⁴ Muhinge & Munyae (note 32 above) 106.

³⁵ See Oyejide T A 'Globalization and its economic impact: An African perspective' in Semboja J, Mwapachu J & Jansen E *Local perspectives on globalization: The African case* (2002) 19.

³⁶ UNDP Human Development Report 1999 at http://www.hdv.undp.org/en/media/HDR_EN.pdf.

³⁷ See Irogbe K 'Globalization and the development of underdevelopment in the third world' (2005) 22 (1) *Journal of Third World Studies* 42.

its own domestic needs. As a result, foreign factors of production such as capital and technology have become the determinants of socio-economic progress and political life in most developing countries. Globalization is the lifeblood through which all this takes place.

Other factors that highlight the tension or contradiction between globalization and development in Africa include the role of multinational corporations (whose main goal is to maximize profits) and the role of the Western media (whose main goal is to westernize the rest of the world). But perhaps the most significant factor is, arguably, the role of the so-called Bretton-Woods Institutions (the IMF and the World Bank) in Africa's development. Although many underdeveloped countries greatly distrust the IMF and the World Bank, the very same countries continue to be dependent recipients of huge loans from these institutions. The outrageous interest rates charged have caused unbearable hardships to many of those countries. Moreover the IMF and the World Bank have regularly imposed austerity measures such as structural adjustment programmes (SAPs) on developing countries. These are reform programmes intended to open up poor economies to the global markets through export-led strategies. These programmes are typically accompanied by 'deregulation, an end to price controls and subsidies, currency devaluation, privatization of state enterprises, and sharp cuts in government spending, including cuts in the size of the civil service.'³⁸

Many have argued that SAPs have actually hurt the poor, deepened poverty and increased the gap between the rich and poor, particularly in developing countries.³⁹ They are also blamed for entrenching inequalities between and within countries.⁴⁰ It has also been argued that as a result of their activities, 'the IMF and the World Bank have institutionalized economic stagnation in the underdeveloped countries.'⁴¹ Needless to mention that nowhere is the impact of the IMF and World Bank machinations felt more intensely than on the African continent. Needless to add, that the African people have globalization to thank for all this.

It is clear from the foregoing discussion that certain tensions and contradictions do exist between development, democracy and globalization. In Africa, these tensions and contradictions are exacerbated by several challenges that are prevalent and unique to African countries. It is to those challenges that we now turn our attention.

4 AFRICAN CHALLENGES

4.1 Conflicts

One of the main challenges underlying the relationship between development, democracy and globalization is in the form of the never-ending regional and internal conflicts. Today, there are several on-going conflicts in various African countries including Somalia, Ivory Coast, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Sudan and Uganda, to

³⁸ See Mlambo A S & Pangeti E S 'Globalization, structural adjustment and the social dimensions dilemma in Zimbabwe' in Assefa T, Rugumamu S M & Ahmed A G M, *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001) 164.

³⁹ See 'Major criticisms of SAPs' at <http://wwwnew.towson.edu/polsci/ppp/sp97/imf/POLSAP3.HTM>.

⁴⁰ Mlambo & Pangeti (note 38 above) 164.

⁴¹ Irogbe (note 37 above) 59.

name but a few. In all there are more than 20 recent or on-going conflicts of one form or another on the African continent. There are several factors often mooted as causes of these conflicts. One such factor is poverty. It has been argued however, that poverty 'does not simply exist but is created by the manner in which a region is integrated into the global economy.'⁴² Yash Tandon argues that the process of globalization breeds violence and conflict when it continues to produce inequality, poverty, environmental destruction and unprecedented concentration of economic power for a few while the majority are marginalized and excluded.⁴³ This is how Africa has become a victim of globalization.

It has been opined that some of the deadliest conflicts that have taken place in Africa in the recent past can be traced to the expansion and domination of transnational corporations. Examples are usually given of the perpetual war in the oil-rich Niger Delta, the long-standing civil war in Angola involving 'blood diamonds' and the ongoing conflict in the DRC centered on the exploitation of the rich mineral reserves.⁴⁴ The same may be said of the recent conflicts in Sierra Leone, Nigeria, Sudan, Liberia and the Great Lakes where people have taken up arms to fight in oil, diamond and copper wars. Those arms themselves moreover are supplied by none other than transnational corporations and foreign governments. The role of transnational corporations, as agents of globalization, in the escalation of conflict and violations of human rights in Africa cannot be over-emphasized. The negative impact on democracy and development is pretty obvious.

4.2 Poverty

Poverty, as mentioned earlier is one of the greatest African challenges in the face of globalization. Indeed Africa is generally a continent of extreme poverty. It is estimated for example, that about 315 million of the 700 million people who live in sub-Saharan Africa survive on less than one dollar per day.⁴⁵ Statistics also show that one third of the African population (about 184 million people) suffer from malnutrition, less than 50% have access to hospitals or doctors, the average life expectancy is 41 years, and one in six children dies before the age of five.⁴⁶ It is further estimated that the total annual gross national product (GNP) of all African countries is about US\$300 billion (roughly the same as that of Belgium) of which more than 50% is contributed by South Africa and Nigeria alone.⁴⁷ The levels and extent of poverty and its effect on the African people cannot therefore be over emphasized.

The length and depth of this paper do not lend themselves to a detailed discussion of the causes of poverty in Africa. Suffice to say that globalization has, in various ways, contributed significantly to the extent and impact of poverty on the African continent. In that regard, it has been argued that the structural imbalance at the global level and the

⁴² See Tandon Y 'The Violence of Globalization' at <http://www.wcc-coe.org/wcc/what/jpc/echoes/echoes-18-08.html>.

⁴³ *Ibid.*

⁴⁴ See Dare S 'A Continent in Crisis: Africa and Globalisation' *Dollars and Sense Magazine* at http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Africa/Continent_crisis.html.

⁴⁵ See 'Facts on Poverty in Africa' at <http://www.food4africa.org/index.asp?pgid=42>.

⁴⁶ *Ibid.*

⁴⁷ See World Bank, *Can Africa Claim the 21st Century?* at <http://sireresawices.worldbank.org/INTAFRICA/Resources.complete.pdf>.

old-fashioned social and economic structures in developing countries such as those that form the African continent, interact to aggravate poverty in those countries.⁴⁸ Take the problem of African debt as for example. It is estimated that today, African states owe foreign creditors an estimated US\$300 billion.⁴⁹ To service this debt, African states must come up with some US \$15 billion each year.⁵⁰ Another example is the perpetual agricultural crisis facing African countries. This crisis is created by, among other things, the protectionist policies of the rich Western countries. These countries 'operate a battery of tariff and non-tariff barriers (duties, quotas, subsidies to domestic producers) against agricultural products from the Third World.'⁵¹ Such practices inevitably hurt African agriculture leading to a decline in per capita production and thereby increasing poverty.

A final example is in the form of the so-called Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs) to which reference was made earlier on. As was mentioned, these SAPs are usually imposed by the World Bank and the IMF on developing countries to ensure debt repayments and economic restructuring. These programmes are normally imposed in a way that requires poor countries to reduce spending on things like health, education and development in order to prioritize debt repayment and other economic policies. The main effect of these SAPs is to weaken and damage the interests of the poor and developing countries and to lower the standard of living of their people. This is because the SAPs are designed to dismantle the role of the state in economic development, liberalize trade and investment regimes and privatize economic activities.⁵²

The link between poverty, human rights, democracy and development is the basis of a largely complex but extremely important relationship. At the heart of that relationship is the recognition that poverty is not only a denial of human rights, but it also undermines democracy and reverses the gains of development. In the age of globalization, that relationship is not only complex and important but also potentially contradictory. Nowhere is this more real than on the African continent.

4.3 HIV/AIDS and other diseases

According to the 2007 *UNAIDS/WHO AIDS Epidemic Update*, Sub-Saharan Africa remains the region most affected by the AIDS epidemic and is home to two thirds (68%) of all people infected with HIV worldwide.⁵³ It is estimated that 50% to 80% of tuberculosis patients in Southern Africa are also HIV positive.⁵⁴ Indeed TB is such a serious problem that it is considered to be responsible for about 1500 deaths everyday in Africa.⁵⁵ In 2005, the WHO Africa Regional Committee adopted a resolution declaring

⁴⁸ See Nuruzzaman N 'Economic Globalization and the Production of Poverty in the South: A Structural Explanation' at <http://www.devnet.org.nz/conf/Papers/Nuruzzaman.pdf>.

⁴⁹ See 'Africa Debt' Africa Action at <http://www.africaaction.org/resources/debt.php>.

⁵⁰ See Nagan W 'Implementing the African Renaissance: Making African Human Rights Comprehensive for the New Millennium' at http://www.cha.uga.edu/CHA-CITS/Nagan_paper.pdf.

⁵¹ See Ayitteh G B N *Africa Unchained: The Blueprint for Africa's Future* (2004) 244.

⁵² Nuruzzaman (note 48 above). See also A Shah 'Structural Adjustment – a Major Cause of Poverty' at <http://www.globalissues.org/TradeRelated/SAP.asp>.

⁵³ See 2007 *UNAIDS/WHO AIDS Epidemic Update* at <http://www.unaids.org/en/KnowledgeCentre/HIVData/EpiUpdate/EpiUpdArchive/2007>.

⁵⁴ *Ibid.*

⁵⁵ See WHO 'TB Emergency Declaration Issued by WHO Regional Office for Africa' at http://www.who.int/tb/features_archive/tb_emergency_declaration/en/index.html.

TB an emergency on the continent. Equally serious is the problem of malaria; so serious in fact that 90% of the estimated malaria deaths worldwide occur in Africa.⁵⁶ One in every five childhood deaths is caused by malaria and it is estimated that the disease costs Africa more than \$12 billion in lost GDP every year.⁵⁷

The link between the above mentioned diseases and development is easy to establish. So too is the link between such diseases and the enjoyment of human rights, particularly socio-economic rights such as the right of access to health care services. Much less obvious however, is the link between HIV/AIDS, TB or malaria and globalization. It may be argued though that the ability of African countries to respond to these diseases is dependent on their ability to climb out of poverty, enjoy favourable terms of trade, implement and control fair patent policies on medications, all of which are issues linked to globalization. An argument could be made in favour of globalization and its contribution to addressing health problems in Africa through the role of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria for example. Indeed there is no denying that such initiatives have had some positive outcomes. However, these outcomes do not reach the rural poor who form about 80% of African populations. Moreover, besides the usual strings attached and the problem of 'globalized dependency' that was referred to earlier, such initiatives usually fail to achieve the intended results. For example in Uganda, grants from the Global Fund were stopped in August 2005 when serious financial irregularities were discovered within the Programme Management Unit of the Ministry of Health.⁵⁸ This indeed is yet another African challenge, to which we now turn our attention.

4.4 Corruption, poor governance and mismanagement

In many parts of Africa corruption has reached endemic proportions. According to the 2008 Corruptions Perceptions Index, Somalia is the most corrupt country in the World.⁵⁹ The CPI also shows that Sudan, Guinea, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Democratic Republic of Congo, and Zimbabwe (all African countries) are among the top 12 most corrupt countries in the world.⁶⁰ The high levels of corruption in other African Countries such as Nigeria, Malawi, Uganda, Burundi, Kenya and Sierra Leone are also well known and documented.

It is not only important to realize that corruption, poor governance and mismanagement are 'birds of the same feather' but their effect on development and democracy should also be noted. As one commentator has stressed, 'widespread corruption stunts economic growth, undermines political legitimacy, and demoralizes public officials and ordinary citizens.'⁶¹ These sentiments were echoed by the South African Minister of Education (then) Kader Asmal at the 9th International Anti-Corruption Conference in Durban in the following words:

⁵⁶ See 'The Access Project' at http://www.theaccessproject.com/index.php/about/a_global_problem.

⁵⁷ *Ibid.*

⁵⁸ See Musoke C 'Global Fund Cuts Uganda's HIV/AIDS Funding' *The New Vision* 6 November 2006.

⁵⁹ See Transparency International '2008 Corruption Perceptions Index' at http://www.transparency.org/news_room/in_focus/2008/cpi2008/cpi_2008_table.

⁶⁰ *Ibid.*

⁶¹ See Klitgaard R 'Political Corruption: Strategies for Reform' 1991 2(4) *Journal of Democracy* 86

‘Corruption, maladministration and poor governance do more than undermine economic stability; they undermine government in the eyes of the people. They lead to a pervasive cynicism about politics and politicians, which is disastrous for democracy.’⁶²

It should also be pointed out that corruption, poor governance, and maladministration often provide the backdrop for other crises in Africa. It is therefore no wonder that political unrest and civil strife are rampant in countries such as Somalia, Sudan, Democratic Republic of Congo, Sierra Leone, Zimbabwe and Burundi, the very same countries that are most corrupt and most poorly governed. It is also no wonder that in these countries widespread poverty prevails. Moreover, and quite importantly, it is these same countries that have the most dismal human rights records on the whole continent.

What does corruption or poor governance have to do with globalization? Firstly, research has shown that the more corrupt and poorly governed countries receive fewer benefits of globalization.⁶³ One form of such benefits is direct international investment. For international investors, having to pay bribes and line the pockets of corrupt government officials is equivalent to paying extra tax. As such, some foreign firms may be reluctant to pay bribes in order to obtain business. Secondly, due to the new economic interdependence, corruption has itself been globalized. It is therefore argued that ‘greater levels of economic integration have increased chances that corruption in one region of the world will have an impact on economic and political activities in other parts of the world.’⁶⁴ John Mukum Mbaku further argues that:

‘...developments in communication technology have revolutionized the international financial system and enhanced the ability of traders to engage in corruption. The emergence of electronic networks for the transfer of funds has made it quite difficult for countries to deal effectively with corruption. In fact, in recent years, many anti-corruption organizations have argued that the ease with which funds can be transferred ...implies that corrupt civil servants can effectively hide their extra-legal income from the public, making it virtually impossible for such funds to be recovered in the event of conviction.’⁶⁵

Finally, it has also been argued that in a globalized economy, corruption and poor governance significantly raise the likelihood of macro-economic instability, in addition to reducing economic growth. This is particularly true in the African context where several other factors such as crime, unemployment, low levels of education and poverty come into play.

5 CONCLUSION

The advocates of globalization will no doubt quickly point to the benefits of globalization and its positive contribution to economic growth and development worldwide. Critics however, will disagree and point to the impoverishment and devastation caused by globalization particularly in poor countries. It was never the intention of this paper to determine who is right or wrong. Rather, the paper is concerned with highlighting the

⁶² See Harsch E ‘African Mounts Drive Against Graft: International Anti-Corruption Conference Spotlights Global Malady’ *Africa Recovery* at <http://www.un.org/ecosocdev/geninfo/afrec/vol13no4/8corrupt.htm>.

⁶³ See Shang-Jin Wei ‘Corruption and Globalization’ *Brookings Policy Brief* No 79 (April 2 2001) 8.

⁶⁴ See Mbaku J M *Corruption in Africa: Causes, consequences and cleanups* (2007) 118.

⁶⁵ Mbaku (note 64 above) 118 – 119.

contradictions and tensions that arise as a result of the impact of globalization on an already complex relationship between human rights, development and democracy - this, in the context of African countries already beleaguered with unique challenges and problems.

It is clear that due to the various tensions between globalization, development and democracy, the benefits of globalization will not only remain elusive to many African countries, but globalization may indeed undermine human rights, impede development and be a threat to democracy in those countries. Moreover in the face of the unique challenges faced by African countries, while the Western world will continue to be winners in the race for the benefits of globalization, most African countries will continue to be relegated to the position of losers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adejo A M 'The roots of globalization: A historical review' (2001) 1(1) *Journal of Globalization and International Studies* 4.

Ambrose B O *Democratization and the protection of human rights in Africa* (1995)

Assefa T, Rugumamu S M & Ahmed A G M *Globalization, democracy and development in Africa: Challenges and prospects* (2001)

Brysk A (Ed) *Globalization and human rights* (2002)

Giddens A *The consequences of modernity* (1990)

Griffith P *Unbinding Africa: Making globalization work for good governance* (2003)

Held D *Models of Democracy* (1987)

Howard-Hassman R E 'The second great transformation: Human rights leapfrogging in the era of globalization' 2005 (27) *Human Rights Quarterly* 16

K Irogbe 'Globalization and the development of underdevelopment in the third world' (2005) 22 (1) *Journal of Third World Studies* 42

Klitgaard R 'Political Corruption: Strategies for Reform' 1991 2(4) *Journal of Democracy* 86

Le Roux W 'Migration, street democracy and expatriate voting rights' (2009) 24(2) *SA Public Law* 370

Mbaku J M *Corruption in Africa: Causes, consequences and cleanups* (2007)

Okogbule N S 'Globalization, economic sovereignty and African development: From principles to realities' (2008) 25(1) *Journal of Third World Studies* 215

Semboja J, Mwapachu J & Jansen E (Eds) *Local perspectives on globalization: The African case* (2002)

Sen A *Development as freedom* (1999)

Stiglitz J *Globalization and its discontents* (2002)

Thomson A *An introduction to African politics* (2000)

Zezeza P T & McConnaughay P J *Human rights, the rule of law and development in Africa* (2004)