

*Ebrahim v. Minister of the Interior.*

“Stated positively, we can say here that the construer must proceed from the supposition that the Legislature wants to make an effective purposeful provision. From this it follows that where two meanings are possible, the construer must select that meaning which will make the provision most effective or which will serve the intention of the Legislature best.”

—and also at p. 126:

“From this it follows further that where there are two possible interpretations of which one can lead to evasion of the law and the other not, that one should be chosen which would avoid evasion; because an act is rendered completely or partially ineffective by evasion.”

—and again at p. 129:

“Apparently our courts also accept that an act should not be so construed as to encourage *evasions*. In *R. v. Gillett*, 1929 A.D. at p. 368 the following words from *Dadoo Ltd. v. Krugersdorp Municipal Council*, 1920 A.D. 530 are apparently quoted with approval: ‘. . . to carry out effectually the object of a statute it must be so construed as to defeat all attempts to do or avoid in an indirect or circuitous manner that which it has prohibited or enjoined.’”

“verkry” means “to obtain by effort; acquire; bring about.”