IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG MONDAY 31st OCTOBER 2022

EVEN THOUGH COURTROOMS ARE ALLOCATED TO JUDGES, JUDGES MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE IN THE EVENT OF VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS

OPPOSED MOTION

9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KEIGHTLEY J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OPPPERMAN J

9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KUNY J

9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE BISHOP AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

- GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MEYER J
- GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAKUME J
- GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DOSIO J

URGENT APPLICATIONS

- 9E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WRIGHT J
- 9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MALINDI J

SPECIAL MOTION

11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DIPPENAAR J ALMAR INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD v EMANG MMOGO MINING RESOURCES (PTY) LTD AND ANOTHER (CASE NO: 2020/1005)

TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANOIM J 14302

FAMILY COURT

- 11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE STRIJDOM AJ
- GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ENGELBRECHT AJ

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

- GA BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MUDAU J
- 11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN AJ

SETTLEMENT COURT

1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SWANEPOEL AJ

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WILSON AJ

COMMERCIAL COURT

- 11D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WEPENER J 2014/42448
- 11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE YACOOB J 2019/7031

PART-HEARD CIVIL TRIAL

8F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOLAHLEHI J G E POHLMAN obo M F LUYT v MEC FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG (CASE NO: 2014/33632)

TRIALS OF LONG DURATION

- 6F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FRANCIS J
- 8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J MTHALANE LINDIWE obo TSHEPANG BUSISIWE MTHALANE v MEC FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG (CASE NO: 2013/37420)
- 8D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAHALELO J
- Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAIER-FRAWLEY J
- 6C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE BARNES AJ

 XOLISWA CYNTHIA LUPHEPHA obo YAMKELA v MEC FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG (CASE
 NO: 2017/8097)

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TWALA J

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE SUTHERLAND DJP. IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 37 OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S CONSOLIDATED DIRECTIVE OF 11 JUNE 2021. THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL CALL. PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 37 TO 40 OF THE DIRECTIVE. PARTIES SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ALLOCATIONS BY EMAIL.

WHENEVER JUDGES ARE ALLOCATED MORE THAN ONE CASE THE JUDGE SHALL DIRECT IN WHICH ORDER THE MATTERS ARE TO BE HEARD.

IN A MATTER WHERE A SETTLEMENT IS IMMINENT IT MUST BE REMOVED FROM THIS ROLL AND ENROLLED ON THE SETTLEMENTS COURT ROLL. THERE SHOULD BE NO EXPECTATION THAT THE MATTER WILL BE ENTERTAINED ON THIS ROLL OR THAT TRIAL COSTS SHALL BE AWARDED FOR AN APPEARANCE.

LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF THE PRACTICE DIRECTIVE 02 OF 2022 WHICH SETS OUT THE ARRANGEMENTS REGARDING THE MODE OF HEARING. PLEASE LIAISE WITH THE JUDGE'S SECRETARY TO WHOM THE MATTER IS ALLOCATED

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF CIVIL TRIALS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

CLOSING DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: MONDAY, 24 OCTOBER 2022

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED	
'Y' MATTERS: CLAIMS AGAINST THE RAF, PRASA OR MEC HEALTH, GAUTENG				
PART A: CIVIL TRIALS				

1	2018/32261	SIBOBI BONGA VS PASSENGER RAIL AGENCY OF SOUTH AFRICA Plaintiff: Adv F Matika Defendant: Mr B O'Connor (Attorney) Merits and quantum Separation of issues Pre-trial conference: 09 November 2021 Certification conference: 31 October 2021 Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days	MAHALELO J Secretary: Mr G Lebelo E-mail: GLebelo@judiciary.org.za
2	2017/8097	XOLISWA CYNTHIA LUPHEPHA VS MEC FOR HEALTH ,GAUTENG	Trial of long duration allocated to BARNES AJ
	PART	B: TRIAL BY DEFAULT	JUDGMENT
	l		l

ALL MATTERS CLASSIFIED "D" "C" "P" OR "F" NOT REQUIRING A JUDICIAL CERTIFICATE OF READINESS

3	2015/32422	AYANDA IRVIN KUNENE VS MINISTER OF POLICE	Trial of long duration – hearing date: 16 January 2023
4	2017/10749	CLOSETRADE 200092 CC VS SIMON ANTHONY STORM Plaintiff: Adv L Acker Defendant: Mr D Hugo (Attorney) Estimated duration: 2 days Plaintiff seeks an order for payment against the defendant as surety in terms of a written suretyship	WEPENER J Secretary: Mr M Moolman E-mail: MMoolman@judiciary.org.za
5	2021/23300	KIWI RAFT FOUNDATION (PTY) LIMITED VS BUTLA HOLDINGS (PTY) LIMITED	No practice note was uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is struck off from the trial roll

6	2015/7062	VULAMANDLA NGUBO VS THE MINISTER OF POLICE Plaintiff: Adv M C Phathela Defendant: Mr K Maile (State Attorney) Assault; shooting Quantum Argument on papers	MATTER STANDS TO BE ALLOCATED. THE ALLOCATION WILL OCCUR AS SOON AS A JUDGE BECOMES AVAILABLE. THE PARTIES WILL BE NOTIFIED PER E- MAIL WHEN THE ALLOCATION OCCURS
7	2019/36036	PAULO JORGE JOAO MUSSANE VS MINISTER OF POLICE	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice
8	2015/17608	3030 MOTORBIKE SCHOOL CC VS GAUTENG DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SAFETY Plaintiff: Adv B Morris and Adv S Bomela-Lindazwe Defendants: Adv A Naseera Opposed action for claim of payment arising from an agreement for employees of the first and second defendants to enrol at the plaintiff's training school for motorcycle driving lessons and licences. Estimated duration: 2 days Pre-trial conference: 25 February 2022; 28 February 2022 Minutes registered: 03 March 2022	MATTER STANDS TO BE ALLOCATED. THE ALLOCATION WILL OCCUR AS SOON AS A JUDGE BECOMES AVAILABLE. THE PARTIES WILL BE NOTIFIED PER E- MAIL WHEN THE ALLOCATION OCCURS

SAMWUMED VS ROYAL ALDORANCE DUDUZA KHOSANA Plaintiff: Adv E Kromhout Defendant: Adv H Drake Pre-trial conference: 10 August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
DUDUZA KHOSANA Plaintiff: Adv E Kromhout Defendant: Adv H Drake Pre-trial conference: 10 August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Plaintiff: Adv E Kromhout Defendant: Adv H Drake Pre-trial conference: 10 August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Defendant: Adv H Drake Pre-trial conference: 10 August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Pre-trial conference: 10 August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
August 2021; 13 September 2022 Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Commercial claim based on alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
alleged breach of fiduciary duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
duties/delict, alternatively unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
unjust enrichment The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
The defendant was appointed as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
as the Plaintiff Medical Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Scheme's provisional curator on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
on 03 May 2018, in terms of an order of the Western Cape Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
Division High Court. On 09 October 2018, the defendant
October 2018, the defendant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
was removed with immediate
effect as the plaintiff's
provisional curator by the
Western Cape High Court. The matter involves advance
payments that had been
made to the defendant during
her tenure as provisional
curator in respect of
expenses and disbursements
to be incurred by the
defendant in rendering her
services in the aforesaid
capacity.
The plaintiff's claim against
the defendant is in essence
that the defendant, in breach
of her fiduciary duties as provisional curator of the
plaintiff, failed to account for a
sum of money and that she
unlawfully and intentionally
appropriated the said sum,
causing the plaintiff to suffer
damages in that amount,
alternatively, that eh
defendant was unjustly
enriched in that amount.
The defendant disputes the
plaintiff's claim and inter alia contends that she had duly
and fully accounted for all
outstanding expenses,
supported by invoices and
approvals.
Estimated duration: 3 – 4
days
10 2019/37316 KGALAUWANE Matter is removed from the
INVESTMENTS (PTY) trial roll by notice
LIMITED VS NISELA
CAPITAL (PTY)
LIMITED

11	2020/12759	VOLTEX (PTY)	MAIER-FRAWLEY J
		LIMITED VS MARINA	Secretary: Mr T Kabai
		KILFOIL	E-mail:
		Plaintiff: Adv A Lamplough	TKabai@judiciary.org.za
		SC and Adv A R Whitaker	
		Defendants: Adv R de Leeuw	
		Estimated duration: 3 – 5	
		days	
		The plaintiff prays for judgment against the 1 st , 2 nd ,	
		3 rd and 4 th defendants, jointly	
		and severally, the one paying	
		the other to be absolved for	
		an amount.	
		The agreement was	
		concluded between the	
		plaintiff and Ezindaleni	
		Power Solutions CC.	
		The first, second, third and fourth defendants signed a	
		consolidated age analysis	
		showing the debt owing by	
		Ezindaleni Power Solutions	
		CC to the plaintiff.	
		The first, second and third	
		defendants signed a	
		personal	
		guarantee/suretyship in	
		favour of the plaintiff in terms of which they bound	
		themselves as sureties and	
		co-principal debtors for the	
		obligations of Ezindaleni	
		Power Solutions CC.	
		The first, second, third and	
		fourth defendants signed the	
		document headed	
		"Acknowledgment of Debt" Ezindaleni Power Solutions	
		CC paid the plaintiff and	
		amount by way of two	
		electronic fund transfers, the	
		first on 16 January 2020 and	
		the second on 30 January	
		2020	
		The National Credit Act 34 of	
		2005 is not applicable to the	
		agreement in terms of which the plaintiff supplied and	
		delivered goods to Ezindaleni	
		Power Solutions CC.	
		Pre-trial conference: 31	
		March 2021	
MATTERS	REMOVED F	 ROM THE ROLL BY NOTI	CE

MATTERS NOT ON THE ROLL, COURT FILES ARE NOT WITH ROLL **CALLING JUDGE**

- The civil trial roll is submitted to the Office of the Deputy Judge President by the Registrar approximately 9 court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the Secretary of the Deputy Judge President.
- The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the 2. Johannesburg Society of Advocates, where litigants may inspect the roll.
- The failure of the registrar to include matters on the roll must be taken up with the 3. Registrar. For that purpose, kindly approach the registrar, Ms T. Khumalo (TKhumalo@judiciary.org.za / 010 494 8397) timeously to enquire about the enrolment.
- 4. If the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the registrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives.

5. La:	st-minute enquiries	will not be accommodated.	